Title of Session: Cyberethics, Cybersafety, Cybersecurity
Moderator: Davina Pruitt-Mentle
Title of File: 20051205cybersafety
Date: December 5, 2005

Room: After School Online

DavinaP: Shall we begin?

JerryLF: yes please

DavidWe: We usually start with introductions

WandaSW: I am a grad student at Pepperdine in LA doing this for part of a tech class

KiraK: Wanda and I are in the same class at Pepperdine

DavinaP: I think we know now where everyone is from (I'm from University of Maryland) but lets hear a little more about your interest in C3

JerryLF: Jerry from a private school in So. Cal. I am a multi-subject 5th grade teacher, I'm taking a grad class to clear my credential

KathrynGst30 joined the room.

DavidWe: Hi, Kathryn. Welcome to Tapped In

KathrynGst30: Thank you.

DavinaP: what are the "class requirements"?

WandaSW: One of the requirements is to participate in two sessions and write a short summary

DavinaP: And folks interest in C3 is......

DavidWe: I'm David Weksler. I'm one of the Tapped In HelpDesk volunteers. I help teachers learn more about educational technology. I'm in New Jersey, near New York City

DavidWe: Want to introduce yourself, Jeff?

DavidWe: I think it's all yours, Davina

DavinaP: Tonight I wanted to discuss a new initiative that actually kicks off tomorrow ...

DavinaP: The National Cyber Security Alliance (NCSA) and its supporting partners, including the Cyber Security Industry Alliance (CSIA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) are hosting a roundtable series on cyber security, ethics, and safety education. The first roundtable will take place on December 6, 2005 in Washington, D.C.

DavidWe: Want to type a quick introduction for the others

ElizabetCC: I am a 5th grade teacher in Chula Vista

DavidWe . o O (thanks)

DavidWe: Are you involved, Davina?

DavinaP: Pulling folks from a variety of government/organizations (ISTE NEA NSTA CoSn ....federal etc... they are trying to first ....brainstorm "

DavinaP: if you were in the audience tomorrow what would your input be?

JeffC: I'd ask them what they thought about school districts trying to ban students from using sites like myspace and xanga *on their home computers*.

DavidWe grins

DavinaP: "Craft positive cyber security messages for educators---somehow this got cut off

JeffC: But you know... I'm a troublemaker Davina!

DavinaP: Jeff --the message you would try to craft then is? that it should be banned?

KiraK: I'm sorry- is mysapce and xanga like a blog?

JeffC: my message is that school districts have gone nuts

DavidWe smiles

ElizabetCC: They don't want to get sued

DavidWe: That's a technical term

JeffC: yes... myspace and xanga are blogsites... kids use them.

DavinaP: what the roundtable is trying to find is/are simple messages that can become national campaigns (TV/radio ads etc... that get messages across to the K-12 arena

JeffC: well... they're going to get sued if they try and ban students from using the net on their own time... there still is something called the first amendment and freedom of speech.

WandaSW: What right does the school district have to interfere with home computers?

DavidWe: Who is convening the roundtable discussion, Davina?

JustinBe joined the room.

JeffC: kids use these sites to flame teachers, principals, and each other.

DavidWe waves to Justin

DavidWe: Hi, Justin. Welcome

JustinBe: Thank You

JustinBe: Hello

ElizabetCC: Are we discussing restricting home computer use as well as at school?

DavinaP: I'm not sure an ad campaign on a side of a bus "school boards are nuts would really be a correct message

DavidWe smiles

JeffC: districts... in their own inimitable reactionary ways... think the response should be to try and clamp down on those sites... yes... some districts are punishing students who post on these sites.

ElizabetCC: Are you saying that districts are punishing students who are publishing on these sites at home?

JeffC: my question to the board would be "How can we engender good and safe internet use and practice without being reactionary with policy?"

DavinaP: The roundtable will be moderated by EDUCAUSE and National Cyber Security alliance and Homeland security folks

JeffC: yes Elizabeth

ElizabetCC: Oh! Sorry- I wasn't clear on that

WandaSW: punishing them, how?

JeffC: I'll try and get a url for you.

ElizabetCC: And are there parents of these students who are protesting the punishments?

DavinaP: the roundtable's specific goal (one of them is to) Goal:

DavinaP: In order to lay the groundwork to develop national commitment and coordinated action agenda to teach cyber skills to young people, NCSA must create a working relationship with all key stakeholders. By working together, we can: 1) Develop a national cyber awareness campaign to make cyber security, safety and ethics curriculum and other teaching tools that are easy to access and available to all educators; and, 2) Create a culture of common sense cyber security, safety and ethics that will enhance the education and economic opportunities the Internet provides our youth.

JerryLF: I feel it is a matter of teaching them respect and responsibility and making the parents aware of what is going on - no student should have a computer in their bedroom and parents should be monitoring their interactions.

DavidWe: Ever since the Internet moved into schools and public libraries there have been challenges to public organizations from parents concerned about what children may come across online

DavidWe: My niece (9th grade) and my nephew (7th grade) have computers in their rooms

DavidWe: My sister won't let them have TELEVISION in their rooms

DavidWe smiles

KiraK: Isn't the internet more dangerous than TV?

DavidWe: because of electricity?

ElizabetCC: Of course parents should be monitoring their children's interactions, but I think that if they're not, that doesn't necessarily give the school the right to step in and intervene

JerryLF: seems she is focusing on the wrong communication area

ElizabetCC: internet is more dangerous, yes

DavidWe: I'll let her know your opinion, Jerry

DavinaP: So with the comments made so far--freedom of speech, teaching students ethical issues etc... what do you feel is the biggest issue/s that could be focused on

DavidWe: Dangerous, folks?

DavidWe: What's the danger, in your opinions, if you don't mind me asking?

JerryLF: parent education and involvement

JerryLF: we have had students making threats against other students

ElizabetCC: Well there have been news stories of kids who met someone online and decided to go and meet them in person and they were never seen again

DavidWe: Doesn't that happen in real life?

ElizabetCC: of course

JeffC: Here's one link: http://online.wsj.com/public/article/SB113268572534704333-kl_gh5uVNgDPNPepwznr7DnkfW8_20051204.html?mod=blogs

DavidWe: without the Internet?

JeffC: Kids bully each other all the time... and now the Net is the latest venue...

KiraK: kids can be looking at anything and everything on the internet

JulieMC: yes it does! pedophiles have found children as targets online

DavidWe agrees with Jeff

JeffC: cyberbullying is big time... and I think it's Nancy Willard here who does an excellent job of finding ways to deal with it.

DavidWe: And pedophiles have been around since before the Internet, yes?

DavidWe: Why do we send children to school?

ElizabetCC: yes but kids weren't running away from home to another state to meet them

DavidWe: Kids didn't run away from home before the Internet?

JulieMC: yes, but the internet makes kids more accessible and pedos can confront and groom them anonymously - less risk for the offender

DavidWe apologizes for being the Devil's advocate

ElizabetCC: the internet enables them to meet pedophiles in other states masquerading as children

KiraK: I think the difference with "cyber-bullying" is that it now invades the student's home. Before, home was a place where they could escape it

DavidWe: FBI has lurked in teen chat rooms to catch adults

JustinBe: It is extremely easy for someone to pose as another person and assume another identity online

JulieMC: yes - and cyberbullying also happens to adults, as well as kids

DavidWe: Home has not necessarily been a safe place for children

WandaSW: good point....and who generally intervenes with bullying? both teachers and parents

JerryLF: it goes back to parent education and involvement/monitoring

DavinaP: Wanda and Kira --what do you feel is a major "issue" in the area of Cybersafety--ethics or security in the K-12 arena?

JustinBe: It has become more important for parents to educate children about the dangers

DavidWe: Hasn't that always been an important role for parents?

JulieMC: and to watch their computer use more closely

WandaSW: Both are important...

ElizabetCC: But is it the school's job to regulate what kids are doing at home? I feel like the school is basically sending a message that they don't feel parents are doing a good job. If one of my students tells me that they punched their little sister at home last night, is it my job to give him a detention at school as punishment????

KiraK: I think security is a major issue... not too long ago, a child at my school was expelled for accessing inappropriate material on the internet at school

JerryLF: most students are far advanced of parents when it comes to computers most parents have no idea of how to check up on the student on-line adventures

DavidWe wonders if people have strong feelings about these issues

DavidWe winks

JulieMC: parents today need more helping parenting, I think

KathrynGst30: I agree

JerryLF: as do I

ElizabetCC: what's the point?

JulieMC: parents are still reeling from the permissiveness preached by Dr. Spock and others

DavinaP: so I am getting an idea that a focus would be to target more of parental education rather than "teacher" education?

DavidWe: Schools require parents to get their children immunized against communicable diseases

JerryLF: I agree

JulieMC: they still haven't figured out where to draw the line between being their kid's friends, and their mentors

WandaSW: I agree

DavidWe: Schools require that parents send their children to school and not let them stay at home

ElizabetCC: what do you mean "require"?

JustinBe: It is very true what was said about children being more savvy about the internet than parents....Parents must be educated about how to protect their child and how to use tools on computers to do this

DavidWe: There are lots of Home-School interactions

DavidWe: Isn't it the law that children need to go to school unless arrangements for home-schooling has been made?

JulieMC: here in MN we really only have an attendance policy that is strictly enforced in SR High

KathrynGst30: I agree with Justin

JerryLF: really!!!!!!!!!!!!!

JulieMC: yes - but at least in MN there the law hasn't many teeth

DavidWe smiles

JulieMC: not until the kids get to high school

DavidWe: Is that a good thing, Julie?

JulieMC: no

JulieMC: absolutely not!

JulieMC: We have kids in our Christian school where I teach whose parents don't care about their attendance

ElizabetCC: so maybe the schools need to be more active in educating parents about these issues- that's not really the same thing as saying that the school should punish students for things they're doing under parent supervision at home

JerryLF: so   how do we as teachers and administrators educate the parents   do we do it a Back to School night

JulieMC: they miss so much school and we have no way to enforce their attendance

DavidWe: That doesn't work, does it, Jerry?

ElizabetCC: It can be sent home in brochures

JulieMC: do parents read brochures?

KiraK: I don't think that parents would read them

DavinaP: Let's just for a moment pretend that safety (porn --bad folks etc... was no longer an issue--somehow we figured out how to get rid of this problem while keeping freedom of speech issues alive and well for all....what would be the NEXT major issue you could see schools zeroing in on?

DavidWe . o O (right into the recycling bin, huh?)

ElizabetCC: Of course some parents will choose not to read it or choose not to attend back to school night- you can't force them

JulieMC: we can't even get them to take the kid's homework out of their bags sometimes

DavidWe smiles

DavidWe: There are typically more requirements to own and drive a car than to have and raise children, right?

KiraK: I think that plagiarism is a big internet issue

WandaSW: bullying....but teachers should teach more on tolerance

ElizabetCC: Even if you had a meeting at school specifically targeted to this issue, you would get less than 50% attendance

JulieMC: we send home weekly newsletters, notes, and even make calls and still don't get 100% compliance

ElizabetCC: So do I

ElizabetCC: So what do you suggest?

JulieMC: that's the other thing - how do you even get parents to meetings?

DavidWe: 100 % compliance rarely happens

DavidWe . o O (have more cookies)

JeffC: I think having schools zero in and focus on safety and security is indeed a problem in itself... they should be focusing on safe internet practices to be sure... but for now... because they basically try and filter everything... and are so paranoid that "something bad might happen" that there is a tremendous chilling effect on using the 90% of the Net that can be a positive influence on kids.

JulieMC: keep on trying - and use as many means of communication as you can

DavinaP: Plag and bullying any others?

ElizabetCC: Exactly Julie- we do the best we can to inform them

JulieMC: and keep the lines of communication open - even when that's difficult

ElizabetCC: It is sad that they are missing out on so much that is beneficial, BUT what other choice is there? Safety must be a top priority

JerryLF: teaching them how to assess the information they come across on the internet - fact, fiction, advertisement

DavidWe: When my niece was in elementary school, my sister COULD NOT email her teachers at her school in suburban Philadelphia. She felt the school really didn't want parent participation. She felt very frustrated

KiraK: Really? That is sad

DavidWe nods

ElizabetCC: why couldn't she email them?

DavidWe: No email addresses

ElizabetCC: they refused to give them out?

KiraK: I email my students' parents all the time

JulieMC: did the teachers not know how to use e-mail, or didn't they want parents to contact them?

WandaSW: could she call?

DavidWe . o O (school district with some of the highest average teacher salaries)

ElizabetCC: I get emails from parents frequently

DavidWe: Of course she could call, but as you know, it's challenging to reach anyone in a school during the school day on the phone

JulieMC: me too - and it's another way to reach parents who are working longer hours

DavidWe: I'm just pointing out some of the challenges ON THE OTHER SIDE

ElizabetCC: But why David? They wouldn't give her their emails?

DavinaP: If there were a way to craft information to help eliminate some of these issues....how would you suggest one would"

JulieMC: if I want to call parents, I often have to do it in the evenings because of work schedules

DavidWe: They didn't have school district email

ElizabetCC: So do I

DavinaP: Draft curriculum in a way that suites educators schedules and lesson plans

JulieMC: bottom line is - (my opinion here) parents have to take the TIME to be interested in their kid's lives

KiraK: it seems that this day and age, everyone has email... the teachers could get a personal email account and communicate that way.

ElizabetCC: Just because a district doesn't have enough $$ or capability to have district email does not mean they don't want parent involvement

DavidWe: Princeton school district, in 1994, put the school lunch, and other more critical information on their GOPHER server

JulieMC: our school has a website and we post everything there - even the school lunch menus

DavidWe: They had students help wire the schools and in other school districts (Bellevue, Washington) the students run the district server. They are given RESPONSIBILITIES, of course, with adult supervision

JulieMC: it seems to help a great deal for those who use it

JerryLF: what about the parents who do not have access to the internet - digital divide

DavidWe: Open the school libraries in the evening and invite the parents in

DavidWe . o O (public libraries)

JulieMC: then you send the notes, newsletters and make phone calls

ElizabetCC: That's what I do

DavidWe: Schools should be local community centers, IMHO

JeffC: education... motivating students to learn... those should be the *top* priorities... safety is important... but right now it seems to be the overriding concern... and frankly... districts aren't even going routes that would create true Net safety... banning sites doesn't promote safety.

ElizabetCC: I have given all the parents my email address and I think only 2 have used it

DavidWe: Do you know why they don't use it, Elizabeth?

KiraK: do you email them, Elizabeth?

WandaSW: Are there even strict federal laws governing the internet now

DavidWe: Do you email them?

JeffC: have you created a yahoogroups list with all of their addresses Elizabeth? written to them?

DavidWe smiles

DavinaP: so Jeff, how can we help promote safety?

ElizabetCC: Because I send home a weekly newsletter with all the info

JulieMC: and banning sites doesn't teach the kids who have access at home anything about safety

ElizabetCC: they call me- I think they prefer it

JeffC: by getting them started with sites that are good to begin with... getting them involved and motivated to learn.

JulieMC: I think we have to teach computer safety like sex education

ElizabetCC: I agree

JulieMC: over and over and involve the parents

JeffC: if you want safety... and have kids actively engaged in their own education... they can help police themselves.

JeffC: kids that put up flame sites are basically bored students.

ElizabetCC: In fact we had a chula vista police officer who came and spoke to my class about internet safety

JulieMC: I teach kindergartners, so I still think parents need to be involved

ElizabetCC: The officer spoke about gang awareness, child abduction, and internet safety to all 5th grade classes

JeffC: parents should *definitely* be involved... there should be classes (held online) for them as well... more community activity...

JeffC: that's ok as far as it goes Elizabeth... but did he show any positive sites?

ElizabetCC: Many parents aren't able to attend online classes

DavinaP: so an actual cybersafety type "course"--wonder were it would fit I'm thinking in the health type requirement?

JeffC: things that students *could* do?

JulieMC: ICAC officers would gladly speak to the children about online safety too

JeffC: or... is it just more of this "just say no" nonsense?

DavidWe . o O (ICAC?)

JeffC: Net Health Davina!

ElizabetCC: He didn't show positive sites- but I show them lots

JerryLF: I'm sorry but what is the ICAC?

JulieMC: Internet Crimes Against Children Taskforce officers

DavinaP: Net Health I like that!

JulieMC: most larger cities have them

DavidWe thanks Julie for the definition

ElizabetCC: What about parents who speak other languages? we need to communicate with everyone

JerryLF: thanks

JeffC: right... but that is my point... cops come in and warn kids about the horrors of the Net... but don't do anything to show them what they can do that is safe... like... uh... having their teachers create accounts at Tapped In!

DavidWe: web sites in multiple languages

ElizabetCC: But we do it together

KiraK: good idea!

ElizabetCC: I have about 8 languages in my class

JulieMC: also websites like www.cybercrusaders have tons of info for parents and teachers about safety online

ElizabetCC: in English?

JulieMC: yes

JulieMC: you could ask about other languages

JeffC: but if cops promoted positive alternatives... like in the DARE program... I was turned into DHS because the cop asked the students if their parents ever did drugs. My son (in his infinite wisdom) blurted out "My dad used to smoke pot!"

JulieMC: the lady who runs cybercrusaders is Julia Sieben

KiraK: What is DHS, JEff?

JeffC: Department of Human Services

JulieMC: but the DARE program has been proven to have been a failure

DavidWe: It has been proven to be a failure, Julie

ElizabetCC: what does that have to do with promoting positive alternatives?

DavidWe: My niece and nephew told me about some of the classes

DavinaP: other safety ethics and security sites can be found at: http://www.edtechoutreach.umd.edu/civics.html

JeffC: yeah... my point exactly Julie... in part because the "just say no" philosophy is... negative!

JulieMC: yup - I agree

JeffC: How do you make positives out of negatives (I guess by multiplying them).

KiraK: interesting- I never thought of it like that

DavidWe thanks Jeff for the mathematical reference

JulieMC: make lemons out of lemonade?

JeffC: Cyberethics and cybersecurity are going down the same doomed path.

DavidWe: Exactly, Julie

ElizabetCC: Which is why teachers use the internet to show them all of the positive things that they can use it for

DavinaP: what are some positive alternative slogans?

JulieMC: the internet is moving far faster than any of us can keep up

DavidWe . o O (Just Do IT)

JerryLF: Davina - thank you for providing sites to check into   I will look them up after the discussion

DavidWe . o O (IT == Information Technology)

JeffC: I think Nike owns that David... good idea though!

DavidWe: oh, rats!

JeffC: Maybe Nike could sponsor the campaign.

KiraK: I still like it

DavidWe . o O (win a pair of running shoes)

JeffC: Seriously... get the *community* (parents, corporations, etc.) to really start helping and learning about the Net... getting kids focused in a positive direction.

ElizabetCC: I think a big part of the problem is that teachers aren't exposing kids to the internet in ways that are positive (ie we did a research report where the kids researched online) many teachers just don't use it at all

JulieMC: how about poster contests for all ages, like they do for fire safety?

JeffC: telling kids "no no no" doesn't work.

DavidWe: Does everyone know about the mayor in Spokane, Washington?

ElizabetCC: no

JerryLF: instead of the old "Do you know where your children are, you could have them sitting in front of a computer

JulieMC: no

DavidWe: Downtown Spokane is wirelessly connected to the Internet - free access

KiraK: everywhere?

DavinaP: this is what the national roundtable is trying to do--and specifically what you picked up on--more positive slant to it--

DavidWe: The mayor was found in various online sex chatrooms

JulieMC: schools or everyone is wired?

DavidWe: Wireless access throughout the downtown area

DavidWe: Philadelphia is the looking at a much bigger area

DavidWe . o O (for wireless access)

JulieMC: the anonymity of the internet makes it a very dangerous place

DavidWe: For many people, what the mayor does in his private life is no one's business, but, on the other hand...

DavidWe . o O (you can imagine)

JulieMC: he's a public official who some say should set an example

DavidWe: It also makes it a safer place, in a way, the ability to be anonymous

JeffC: If found something interesting today... several years ago... when I helped Congressman Wu's office draft legislation that forbids porn sites from buying up lapsed kid domains... I suggested that porn be placed on the .sex or .xxx (to be created) domains. I found out today that Senator Lieberman suggested *the exact same thing* in 2000. Unfortunately... because they fear the First Amendment suits... that didn't happen... but the legislation did pass that forbids porn sites from using lapsed kid friendly domains.

WandaSW: But, if he is promoting the internet so strongly.....

JulieMC: child porn is still rampant on the internet

JulieMC: there are tons of websites that still market it

DavidWe: Does that mean we should close the Internet, Julie?

JulieMC: the community has to be aware and report them

JeffC: well... I don't know about rampant Julie... but the good thing about child porn being online is that the feds are definitely (supposed) to be tracking them down.

JulieMC: no of course not

JulieMC: only monitor it more closely

JeffC: no website can openly market child porn

DavidWe: Technology, in my humble opinion, is neutral

DavidWe: How humans use technology is how humans use technology

JulieMC: the Feds can hardly keep up - I know this for a fact and right now Homeland Security takes by 75% of Feds time!

JeffC: it has to be underground... and still... that leaves an electronic paper trail for the authorities... unfortunately... I read recently that the feds weren't focusing on child porn... that instead they were going after porn in general.

JulieMC: it is marketed in very clever ways

ElizabetCC: not that I think its not a serious issue, but to be honest I think that is probably a low priority for the fed govt

KiraK: like the expression "guns don't kill people, people kill people", right , David?

DavidWe: What do you think about that?

KiraK: I agree

DavidWe: Cigarettes, should they be illegal?

DavidWe: Alcohol, should it be illegal?

DavidWe smiles

JulieMC: sure - but we still have a duty to warn and instruct people how to use and not abuse them

DavidWe nods

KiraK: I think that by making something forbidden, you make it appealing

ElizabetCC: I agree

DavidWe: Don't put your child's finger in the electrical socket

JulieMC: yes - especially to kids who want to be adults

ElizabetCC: kids get alcohol and cigarettes all the time VERY EASILY regardless of laws

JulieMC: so do we abolish cars because we might have accidents?

DavidWe . o O (don't put your grandparent's finger in the electrical socket, either)

JerryLF: we keep saying that we should not keep people from the internet because of their rights but with rights and freedom comes responsibility   That is the issue here

DavidWe: No problem, Julie

ElizabetCC: which is why they are punished for misuse

JulieMC: and we have to teach responsibility - in any area of life

DavidWe: Do you have a right to shout, "Fire" in a crowded theatre?

WandaSW: and accountability

ElizabetCC: Parent can choose to have certain sites blocked from their home computers

JulieMC: do websites have the right to post people's private information and then use that info to harass those individuals?

DavidWe looks at the clock on the wall

JerryLF: no and you can be taken to civil court if you endanger another's life

DavidWe: Davina, are there any future discussions of this topic?

JulieMC: but just try to do that!

JerryLF: maybe we should start holding the web site owners responsible

JulieMC: yes - this would be worth revisiting

JulieMC: I think we should too

KiraK: interesting idea

DavinaP: So we have had a very "active" group-----I came tonight hoping to take with me tomorrow when I speak at the roundtable --what this group would suggest....

DavinaP: I understand a need for more parent education---the idea of "Net Health"

JulieMC: education education education

DavinaP: schools boards are crazy (I think I'll keep that one to myself) what others can I mention?

JeffC: stress positive reinforcement... support... deemphasize the need to clamp down and emphasizing negatives.

JulieMC: yes, I agree

KiraK: me too

DavidWe: Student responsibility for school technology - with supervision, as with all school activities

JeffC: how many billions are being pumped into net safety... when they could be used to hire people like me to support districts and keep a virtual eye on things?

DavinaP: Yes--and this goes right along with the national campaign they are trying for (more positive slogans etc...

JerryLF: personal responsibility

DavinaP: another good one!

DavidWe: We used to call the piece of paper, the Acceptable Use Policy (AUP)

JulieMC: yes - all parents signed it?

JerryLF: sure because they had to or their child was excluded and ostracized   but did they really understand what it was?

DavinaP: Except most people can't understand the AUP's and as most lawyers will not-- they are not really tight to begin with--too many loop holes mainly due to freedom of speech issues

JulieMC: we have parents sign that they have taught their children the bus safety rules - why not internet usage rules too?

ElizabetCC: So if parents sign this paper and then their kids are caught doing something against the policy on their home computer, what is the consequence?

DavidWe wants to thank Davina for tonight's discussion

KiraK: Thank you!

ElizabetCC: Yes, thank you

DavidWe: I hope the meeting in Washington is interesting tomorrow, Davina

JulieMC: Thanks everyone!

JerryLF: yes   it was very thought provoking

DavinaP: well I will fill everyone in next Month (we meet the first Monday of the Month) her in Tapped In on the roundtable conclusions or brainstorming session

JulieMC: I appreciated all your ideas and comments

DavidWe encourages people to continue the discussion now and at other times

ElizabetCC: do you know what time Davina?

DavinaP: keep the ideas going there are several other roundtables scheduled throughout the year

DavidWe: Mondays at 8pm [EST]?

DavinaP: goodnight!

ElizabetCC: goodnite

DavinaP: yes same time

KiraK: buenas noches!

DavidWe: Ciao, folks

DavinaP: first Monday of the month

ElizabetCC: ok

KiraK smiles